## Appendix A

## <u>Committee Standards on Public Life - Recommendations (for central government and others) and Best Practice Recommendations (for local government)</u>

Recommendation (for	Government comment (summarised)	LBTH position
central government)		
1.The Local	The Local Government Association published the	LBTH Code of Conduct
Government	updated code of conduct in January 2021. However, it	was reviewed in May
Association should	remains a local decision on whether this model code is	2021, taking into
create an updated	adopted.	account the LGA
model code of conduct,		model Code. The
in consultation with		version used in LBTH
representative bodies		has been adapted to
of councillors and		suit local
officers of all tiers of		circumstances. The
local government.		new version was
		adopted in May 2022.
2. The government	The Government agrees with the principle behind this	At present the Code
should ensure that	recommendation and considers amending the Relevant	of Conduct requires
candidates standing for	Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests)	all members' personal
or accepting public	Regulations 2012 would be an option to achieve it. The	addresses to be
offices are not required	Government will engage with interested parties on the	included in the
publicly to disclose their	best means to ensure that candidates and councillors	published register of
home address. The	are not required publicly to disclose their home	Disclosable Personal
Relevant Authorities	address. Notwithstanding, it is important that home	Interests (DPIs) as an
(Disclosable Pecuniary	addresses are internally registered with monitoring	interest in land.
Interests) Regulations	officers, to help avoid conflicts of interest.	Personal addresses
2012 should be		are only removed
amended to clarify that		from the published
a councillor does not		register with the
need to register their		approval of the
home address on an		Monitoring Officer
authority's register of		who must be satisfied
interests The		that publication of
government should		the address could
ensure that candidates		lead to violence or
standing for or		intimidation to the
accepting public offices		member or a person
are not required		connected to the
publicly to disclose their		member. The issue of
home address. The		publication of
Relevant Authorities		addresses is likely to
(Disclosable Pecuniary		require further
Interests) Regulations		consideration.
2012 should be		
amended to clarify that		Note – the change to
a councillor does not		the requirement for
need to register their		candidates to publish
home address on an		their home addresses
authority's register of		was enacted.
interests.		
3. Councillors should be	Individual local authorities should consider whether	Paragraph 2 of the
presumed to be acting	their code of conduct is adequate in addressing the	LBTH Code of Conduct
in an official capacity in	issue of inappropriate use of social media. Free speech	states that it 'applies
their public conduct,	within the law can sometimes involve the expression of	in all aspects of your
including statements on	political views that some may find offensive, but a line	activities as a

publicly accessible social media. Section 27(2) of the Localism Act 2011 should be amended to permit local authorities to presume so when deciding upon code of conduct breaches.	is crossed when disagreement mutates into intimidation. It is important to recognise that there is a boundary between an elected representative's public life and their private or personal life. Automatically presuming (irrespective of the context and circumstances) that any comment is in an official capacity risks conflating the two.	member, including when acting on Council business or when you are perceived by the public to be acting as a member.' Paragraph 18 of the LBTH Code of Conduct refers to the Council's Social Media Policy, which was put in place in April 2019.
4. Section 27(2) of the Localism Act 2011 should be amended to state that a local authority's code of conduct applies to a member when they claim to act, or give the impression they are acting, in their capacity as a member or as a representative of the local authority.	The Government agrees that local authority elected representatives should act in good faith in the public interest and not seek to influence decisions for personal gain, for malicious intent or to further the interests of any business or any other organisations which they may be affiliated with. The Local Government Association have updated their own suggested code of conduct to state that the code applies when "[a member's] actions could give the impression to a reasonable member of the public with knowledge of all the facts that [they] are acting as a [member]". It is for individual local authorities to ensure that their codes of conducts are regularly updated, comprehensive and fit for purpose. Elected members receive the necessary training to make them aware of their personal responsibilities in upholding the code. The Government will keep this matter under review but has no immediate plans to amend the regulations.	Paragraph 2 of the LBTH Code of Conduct states that it 'applies in all aspects of your activities as a member, including when acting on Council business or when you are perceived by the public to be acting as a member.'
5. The Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012 should be amended to include: unpaid directorships; trusteeships; management roles in a charity or a body of a public nature; and membership of any organisations that seek to influence opinion or public policy.	The electorate must have confidence that the decisions of their elected representatives are being made in the best interests of the community they have been elected to serve. Unpaid roles may need to be declared if it is relevant to council business, and councillors should recuse themselves if necessary if discussions relate to private bodies, they are involved in. The Government is mindful that councillors have a right to a private life, and rights of freedom of association outside their role as a councillor. It is frequently the case that people in public life have a complex pattern of interests and play a variety of roles with different types of organisations, including community interest groups and charities. The Government will keep this matter under review but has no immediate plans to amend the regulations.	The current Register of Interests includes reference to these types of roles but is not as specific as these examples. The next review could include consideration of clarification of the wording.
6. Local authorities should be required to establish a register of gifts and hospitality, with councillors required to record gifts and hospitality received over a value of £50 or totalling £100 over a year from a single source. This	The Local Government Association's suggested code of conduct published in January 2021 includes a requirement for members to "register any gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £50". However, it did not contain any requirements relating to the total value of gifts or hospitality received from the same source over a sustained period. Local authorities have the autonomy to set gifts and hospitality requirements in their own codes of conduct. The Government accepts that there is merit in best practice guidance on the thresholds for gifts and	The current LBTH Code of conduct has retained the lower £25 gift threshold. The current Code states: 'I will also declare repeated smaller gifts/hospitality which, when combined, would

requirement should be included in an updated	hospitality and agrees that a register of gifts and hospitality should be publicly available.	likely exceed £25 within any three-
model code of conduct.		month period'.
7. Section 31 of the	Section 31 of the Localism Act 2011 requires that a	Paragraph 21 of the
Localism Act 2011	councillor must not participate in a discussion or vote	LBTH Code of Conduct
should be repealed, and	on a matter where they have a disclosable pecuniary	states that DPIs also
replaced with a	interest in any matter to be considered at the meeting.	include the interests
requirement that	Section 30(3) of the Localism Act 2011 further provides	of partners of
councils include in their	that any relevant pecuniary interests of a councillor's	members (as required
code of conduct that a	spouse or partner are considered as a disclosable	by current
councillor must not	pecuniary interest of the councillor. The Committee's	legislation).
participate in a	report reflects concerns that the disclosable pecuniary	The Code of Conduct
discussion or vote in a	interest arrangements infringe on the privacy of a	sets out DPIs and Non
matter to be considered	councillor's spouse or partner. Where there would be a	DPIs which would not
at a meeting if they	potential conflict of interest, the principle of integrity	permit a member to
have any interest,	requires that any such interests should nevertheless be	participate in a
whether registered or	declared and resolved. The Government will keep this	meeting without
not, "if a member of	matter under review but has no immediate plans to	permission.
the public, with	repeal Section 31 of the Localism Act 2011.	
knowledge of the		In addition,
relevant facts, would		paragraphs 29 and 30
reasonably regard the		state as below in
interest as so		relation to interests
significant that it is		not included in the
likely to prejudice your consideration or		Register of Interests:
decision-making in		20.0
relation to the matter".		29.Occasions may
		arise where you have an interest in a
		matter being
		considered at a
		meeting which is not
		a DPI or Non-DPI that
		you are required to
		include in the
		Register of Members'
		Interests. An example
		would be where the
		decision on the
		agenda item would
		affect the wellbeing
		of you, your family, or
		a close friend or
		associate of yours
		more than it would
		anyone else living in
		the local area.
		30. In this situation
		you should consider
		whether a reasonable
		person would think
		that your interest is
		so significant that it
		would be likely to
		impair your
		judgement of the

		public interest. If so, you must withdraw and take no part in consideration or discussion of the matter.
8. The Localism Act 2011 should be amended to require that Independent Persons are appointed for a fixed term of two years, renewable once.	The Government does not accept this recommendation as appropriate for legislation on the basis that it would be likely to be unworkable. The Government's view is that it would be more appropriately implemented as a best practice recommendation for local authorities. When local authorities have found effective Independent Persons who demonstrate the capability, judgement and integrity required for this quite demanding yet unpaid role, it is understandable that they may be reluctant to place limitations on the appointment.	LBTH has experienced difficulties in recruiting Independent Persons and welcomes the Government's view.
9. The Local Government Transparency Code should be updated to provide that the view of the Independent Person in relation to a decision on which they are consulted should be formally recorded in any decision notice or minutes.	The Government does not agree with this. The Local Government Transparency Code is a statutory requirement to publish information; it does not regulate the content of councils' minutes or decision notices. The substantive policy suggestion has merit but will depend on circumstances. In cases where there is no case to answer from an unfounded complaint, it should not necessarily be a legal requirement to publish details of that unfounded complaint.	Current templates used in dealing with Code of Conduct complaints refer to the Independent Person being consulted but do not require the views of the Independent Person to be stated. Published information about complaints does not give the name of the member and only an outline of the substance of the complaint. If a matter goes to hearing the views of the Independent Person must be considered when deciding any sanction.
10. A local authority should only be able to suspend a councillor where the authority's Independent Person agrees both with the finding or a breach and that suspending the councillor would be a proportionate sanction. 12. Local authorities should be given the discretionary power to establish a decision-	There is no provision in current legislation for a sanction to suspend a councillor found to have breached the code of conduct, and this was a deliberate policy decision by the Coalition Government at the time of the Localism Act 2011 to differentiate from the previous, failed Standards Board regime. The Standards Board regime allowed politically motivated and vexatious complaints and had a chilling effect on free speech within local government. These proposals would effectively reinstate that flawed regime. It would be undesirable to have a government quango to police the free speech of councillors; it would be equally undesirable to have a council body (appointed by councillors, and/or made up of councillors) sitting in	Possible sanctions are set out in Appendix A to the Code of Conduct. Including them in the main body of the Code at the next revision could make them more visible.

making standards	judgment on the political comments of fellow	
committee with voting	councillors. On the rare occasions where notable	
independent members	breaches of the code of conduct have occurred, local	
and voting members	authorities are not without sanctions under the current	
from dependent	regime. Councillors can be barred from Cabinet,	
parishes, to decide on	Committees, or representative roles, and may be	
allegations and impose	publicly criticised. If the elected member is a member of	
sanctions.	a political group, they would also expect to be subject	
13. Councillors should	to party discipline, including being removed from that	
be given the right to	group or their party. Political parties are unlikely to	
appeal to the Local	reselect councillors who have brought their group or	
Government	party into disrepute. All councillors are ultimately held	
Ombudsman if their	to account via the ballot box. As part of the	
local authority imposes a period of suspension	Government's response to the Committee's report on intimidation in public life, the Government	
for breaching the code	recommended that every political party establish their	
of conduct.	own code of conduct for party members, including	
14. The Local	elected representatives. The Government will engage	
Government	with sector representative bodies of councillors and	
Ombudsman should be	officers of all tiers of local government to seek views on	
given the power to	options to strengthen sanctions to address breaches of	
investigate and decide	the code which fall below the bar of criminal activity	
upon an allegation of a	and related sanctions but involve serious incidents of	
code of conduct breach	bullying and harassment or disruptive behaviour.	
by a councillor, and the		
appropriate sanction,		
an appeal by a		
councillor who has had		
a suspension imposed.		
The Ombudsman's		
decision should be		
binding on the local		
authority.		
16. Local authorities		
should be given the		
power to suspend		
councillors, without		
allowances, for up to		
six months		
11. Local authorities	The Government agrees in principle. Initial soundings	Tower Hamlets does
should provide legal	with the sector indicate that some local authorities	not currently provide
indemnity to	already provide legal indemnity for Independent	legal indemnity to
Independent Persons if	Persons. The Government endorses providing legal	Independent Persons.
their views or advice are disclosed. The	indemnity for Independent Person as local authority best practice but does not currently see the need to	
government should	require this through secondary legislation.	
require this through	ו בקטורב נוווג נוורטעצוו גבנטווטמו א ובצוגומנוטוו.	
secondary legislation if		
needed.		
15. The Local	The Government believes that this is better addressed	LBTH currently
Government	through the sector adopting as best practice a regular	provides this
Transparency Code	pattern of annual reporting by Standard Committees of	information in
should be updated to	the cases and complaints handled and would encourage	summary reports to
require councils to	this as best practice by the sector. The Government	the Standards
publish annually: the	does not believe that there is a requirement to	Committee twice a
number of code of	prescribe to local authorities the form and content of	year.
conduct complaints	such Standard Committee annual reports.	-
,	· · ·	

they receive; what the complaints broadly relate to (e.g., bullying; conflict of interest); the outcome of those complaints, including if they are rejected as trivial or vexatious; and any sanctions applied.		
17. The government should clarify if councils may lawfully bar councillors from council premises or withdraw facilities as sanctions. These powers should be put beyond doubt in legislation if necessary.	The criminal law, overseen by the police and courts, provides for more appropriate and effective action against breaches of public order, for anti-social behaviour, and against harassment. The occasion where councils would seek to bar councillors from council premises are thought to be extremely rare. We will consider this further.	The sanctions currently available under the LBTH Code of Conduct include withdrawing facilities from the Member, such as computer or internet access and excluding the Member from the Council's offices or other premises, with the exception of meeting rooms as necessary for attending Council, Executive, Committee and sub-committee meetings (as appropriate). They do not include barring a Member from council premises.
18. The criminal offences in the Localism Act 2011 relating to Disclosable Pecuniary Interests should be abolished.	It is a criminal offence to fail to declare pecuniary interests, which acts as a strong deterrent against corruption. The Government does not agree with this recommendation, but rather believes the criminal offence of a non-disclosure of pecuniary interest to be a necessary and proportionate safeguard and deterrent against corruption. The high bar of police involvement has served to discourage politically motivated and unfounded complaints.	
20. Section 27(3) of the Localism Act 2011 should be amended to state that parish councils must adopt the code of conduct of their principal authority, with the necessary amendments, or the new model code.	The Government does not agree that this is necessary and has no plans to repeal Section 27(3) of the Localism Act 2011. The Government considers that the adoption of the principal authority's code or the new model code is a matter for local determination.	Not relevant – relates to parish councils
21. Section 28 (11) of the Localism Act 2011 should be amended to state that any sanction imposed on a parish councillor following the	The Government has no current plans to repeal Section 28 (11) of the Localism Act 2011 but will give this matter further consideration.	Not relevant – relates to parish councils

finding of a breach is to be determined by the relevant principal authority. 22. The Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2015 should be amended to provide that disciplinary protections for statutory officers extend to all disciplinary action, not just dismissal.	The three statutory officers in local government are the Monitoring Officer, the Head of Paid Service (Chief Executive) and the Chief Finance Officer (often referred to as the Section 151 Officer). Under the current disciplinary arrangements for statutory officers, any decision to dismiss a statutory officer must be taken by full council, following a hearing by a panel that must include at least two Independent Persons. The Committee consider that the disciplinary protections for statutory officers should be enhanced, by extending disciplinary protections to all disciplinary actions (such as suspension or formal warnings), not just dismissal. The Government agrees in principle with this recommendation and recognises this will be pertinent to Monitoring Officers who may not necessarily be afforded the same seniority in the organisational hierarchy of a local authority as the two other statutory	
23. The Local	officers (Head of Paid Service and the Section 151 Officer), and who may be subject to personal pressures when conducting high profile breach of conduct investigations. The Government will engage with sector representative bodies of all tiers of local government to seek views on amending the Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England)(Amendment) Regulations to provide disciplinary protections for statutory officers. The Government agrees with the principle that	The LBTH website
Government Transparency Code should be updated to provide that local authorities must ensure that their whistleblowing policy specifies a named contact for the external auditor alongside their contact details, which should be available on the authority's website.	openness is essential. Most local authorities already publish their whistleblowing policy, procedures and a named contact on their websites, and Government is recommending that this is adopted as a best practice recommendation. The Government published the UK National Action Plan for Open Government 2021 – 2023 in January 2022. This includes a commitment on local transparency. The Department for Levelling Up Housing and Communities (DLUHC) will work with the local government community to develop a set of specific actions to advance transparency in the sector. DLUHC will support local government to solidify their transparency policies and processes and encourage proactive publication of open data across councils.	includes the whistleblowing policy, procedures, a web form and a telephone number.
24. Councillors should be listed as 'prescribed persons' for the purposes of the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998.	Prescribed persons are individuals or organisations that a worker may approach outside their workplace to report suspected or known wrongdoing and still be protected by the rights afforded to them under whistleblowing legislation. They are prescribed by an order made by the Secretary of State (for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy) for this purpose. A complete list of prescribed persons is available here: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/blowing- the-whistle-list-of-prescribedpeople-and-bodies2. Local councillors would not meet the criteria of being external to an individual's workplace in relation to matters affecting the council and could therefore not	

		be considered as a 'prescribed person' for the purposes of the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998. Disclosures relating to local authorities can be made to the external auditor of the relevant authority, the Comptroller and Auditor General (National Audit Office), or a Member of Parliament. However, the Government recognises that this may provide a further check and balance against council corruption or wrongdoing and is open to further representations on the matter on how local accountability can be strengthened in this regard.	
--	--	--	--